

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/700,669	11/05/2003	Takuji Matsubara	12916/3	6433	
23838 7	7590 05/04/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON			MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT		
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2855		
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant	(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/700	),669	MATSUBA	MATSUBARA ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		Eric S.	McCall	2855					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period f - If NO period t - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI If time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm or reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum state it within the set or extended period for reply elived by the Office later than three months at t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may statutory minimum of t d will expire SIX (6) M application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be consid ONTHS from the mailing dat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133).				
Status									
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Since	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 February 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>									
Application Pa	apers								
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>									
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date <u>Nov. 05, 2003</u>		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Applica 	tion (PTO-152)				

# EVAPORATED FUEL TREATMENT DEVICE OF INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE

### **FIRST OFFICE ACTION ON THE MERITS**

In response to the Applicant's election dated Feb. 14, 2005.

#### **ELECTION**

The Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-7 in the reply filed on Feb. 14, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim 8 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

#### **CLAIMS**

#### 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kidokoro (5,816,222).

With respect to claim 1, Kidokoro suggests an evaporated fuel treatment device for internal combustion engine that uses a canister to absorb evaporated fuel generated in a fuel tank for evaporated fuel treatment purposes, said device comprising:

a sealing valve (16) for controlling the continuity between said fuel tank and said canister (col. 5, lines 54-56);

a differential pressure detection means for detecting the difference between a canister side pressure which exists in a canister side area of the sealing valve and a tank internal pressure (col. 12, lines 48-51); and

Application/Control Number: 10/700,669

Art Unit: 2855

an open failure normality judgment means for judging that no open failure exists in said sealing valve when said differential pressure detection means detects a differential pressure higher than a judgment value (see col. 2, lines 29+; col. 3, lines 60-66; and col. 4, lines 23-31).

With respect to claim 2, Kidokoro suggests an evaporated fuel treatment device for an internal combustion engine that uses a canister to absorb evaporated fuel generated in a fuel tank for evaporated fuel treatment purposes, said device comprising:

a sealing valve (16) for controlling the continuity between said fuel tank and said canister (col. 5, lines 54-56);

a differential pressure generation condition judgment means for judging whether a differential pressure generation condition is established, said condition being established when the sealing valve is expected to be closed and differential pressure is expected to be generated between both sides of the sealing valve (col. 12, lines 48+);

a condition establishment differential pressure detection means for detecting the difference between a canister side pressure and a tank internal pressure when said differential pressure generation condition is established (col. 12, lines 48+); and

an open failure abnormality judgment means for judging that an open failure exists in said sealing valve when said condition establishment differential pressure detection means does not detect a differential pressure greater than a judgment value (see col. 2, lines 29+; col. 3, lines 60-66; and col. 4, lines 23-31).

With respect to claim 3, Kidokoro suggests a differential pressure generation condition

judgment means which makes a judgment that said differential pressure generation condition is

established when a predetermined period of time elapses after said sealing valve closes and the

internal combustion engine comes to a stop, said predetermined period of time being set as one

necessary for generating significant change in said tank internal pressure (col. 13, lines 23-33).

With respect to claim 4, Kidokoro suggests a differential pressure generation condition

judgment means which makes a judgment that said differential pressure generation condition is

established when a predetermined ambient temperature change occurs after said sealing valve

closes and the internal combustion engine comes to a stop, said predetermined ambient

temperature change being set as one necessary for generating significant change in said tank

internal pressure (col. 7, lines 28-35).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Claims 5 and 7 have been found to contain allowable subject matter because the prior art

fails to teach or suggest the claimed subject matter thereof with respect to the fuel temperature as

claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,669 Page 6

Art Unit: 2855

Claim 6 has been found to contain allowable subject matter because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed subject matter thereof with respect to the change in atmospheric pressure as claimed.

**CITED DOCUMENTS** 

The Applicant's attention is directed to the enclosed "PTO-892" form for the prior art made of record at the time of this action.

**CONTACT INFORMATION** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Eric S. McCall whose telephone number is (571) 272-2183.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERIC S. McCALL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/29/2005